

## 6.4. Redress: key statistics

(presented to strategic configuration of the PC, 18 February 2016)



## 'Redress'

- A transparent 'due process' for investigating complaints over proposal evaluation ('Evaluation Review'), and eligibility/admissibility decisions
- A valuable quality control; and correction of (rare) procedural problems
- Based on procedure started in FP7
- Applicants have one month from date of rejection letter
- Rules for 'Evaluation Review' set out in Rules for Participation Article 16

WP Part	Number of proposals received	% of applicants who submitted a complaint	Number of applicants who submitted a complaint	Number of cases leading to re-evaluation	Funded after re- evaluation
ERC	8525	3,04%	259	5	2
FET	946	1,48%	14	0	
MSCA	9167	1,46%	134	9	
RI	212	0,94%	2	1	1
ICT	1755	0,63%	11	0	
NMBP	998	2,10%	21	1	
Space	327	1,22%	4	0	
SMEs	8216	1,36%	112	0	
Health	2177	2,66%	58	0	
Food	671	1,79%	12	1	
Energy	1164	2,75%	32	0	
Transport	945	3,07%	29	2	
Climate	495	2,02%	10	0	
Inclusive societies	512	1,17%	6	0	
Secure societies	468	2,14%	10	0	
Widening	258	5,04%	13	2	
SwafS	257	0,78%	2	0	
JTIs. Total	149	0,67%	1	0	
Grand Total	37278	2%	730	21	3



## Some reasons for re-evaluation: eg

- Incorrect decision on eligibility
- Serious factual mistakes
- Contradictory remarks in the ESR
- ESRs mixed up between proposals