

## **ERAC Workshop on** Methodologies for evaluating the impacts of FP7 Lessons learnt and future steps

Rosalinde Van der Vlies Head of Unit DG RTD Evaluation



#### Context

Political context

Legal requirements

Better Regulation requirements



"Make sure that every action we take delivers maximum performance and value added"

Jean-Claude Juncker



# Better Regulation Guidelines - five mandatory evaluation criteria

- √ Effectiveness
- √ Efficiency
- √ Relevance
- ✓ Coherence
- ✓ EU-added value



"Your evaluation will be based on what you do in the next thirty seconds. Go!"



#### **Evaluation studies**

## Horizontal studies

#### On-going/finalised

- Contribution of FPs to the development of Human Resource Capacity
- Research Management Performance of FP Projects
- Research Management Performance of FP Projects
- Network Analysis of the FP7
   Participation
- Contribution of FPs to Major Innovations
- 3 studies on FP impact on:
   a) Universities b) RTOs and c)
   Industry

#### **Planned**

- Assessing the Union Added Value and the Economic Impact of the EU Framework Programmes (FP7, Horizon 2020)
- Assessing the Impacts of EU Regulatory Bottlenecks on Innovation"
- Impact of Framework Programmes in Member States

# Methodologies used in evaluations feeding into the FP 7 Ex-post Evaluation

A combination of quantitative and qualitative methods based on:

- ✓ Desk research including literature review
- ✓ Project Surveys
- ✓ Interviews
- ✓ Case studies
- ✓ Statistical analyses including econometric analysis
- ✓ Bibliometric analysis
- ✓ Network Analysis
- ✓ Analysis of the intervention logic to identify impact pathways
- ✓ Counterfactual impact evaluations



#### **Example: Eurostars Ex-post evaluation**

#### **What is Eurostars?**

- Joint Programming initiative supporting R&D-performing SMEs
- The programme was set up in 2008 and 33 countries have participated in it.

#### Methodology based on:

- (1) desk research
- (2) interviews with stakeholders and SMEs
- (3) online survey of SMEs which applied for Eurostars
- (4) Counterfactual impact evaluation comparing SMEs benefiting from Eurostars with those who have not received funding. (the Amadeus database for setting up a control group).





### Main findings

- Eurostars had a <u>significant positive impact on the technological potential</u> of funded firms. The estimated number of patent applications by funded firms exceeded the number of patent application of non-funded programme applicants.
- Eurostars <u>stimulated new partnerships of young and small SMEs</u> between participating countries. The results pointed to some long-lasting impacts of the programme, as about 90% of the firms intended to continue the initiated partnerships with other R&D-performing SMEs in Europe whether the consortium was funded or not.
- Eurostars <u>contributed to employment generation</u> by R&D-performing SMEs in Europe. The causal impact of Eurostars funding on employment growth was calculated using different regression designs analysing the growth-rate differentials between funded and similar but non-funded firms.
- The matching approach implies that the <u>employment growth rate of funded</u> <u>firms was nearly twice</u> the employment growth of observably equal non-funded firms.





# Types of impacts that have been assessed in the FP7 ex-post evaluation

- 'Economic' such as impact on growth, contribution to industrial competitiveness
- 'Social' such as impact on jobs
- 'Environmental' such as contribution to sustainable development
- 'Soft impacts' such as behavioural additionality, knowledge accumulation





# Main methodological challenges to assess impact

- Time lag
- Causality
- Data availability
- Methodologies to quantify wider socio-economic impacts

TIME SCALE Ease of Attribution Effect of Complementary Assets Low Inputs Activities Outputs Outcomes Impact (eg Publications) (New intervention) (Funding) (Research) (Clinical Trial) Feedback loops **Exploratory** RESEARCH Development socio-economic effect

Source: Hughes & Martin (2012)



#### **Conclusions**

- In times of budgetary cuts, there is an increasing need to evaluate the impact of R&I funding both at national and EU level
- Better Regulation Agenda: Enhanced focus on evaluations in line with 'Evaluate first' principle
- Commission is ready to assist member states in their evaluation efforts linked to the FP
- There is a need to facilitate mutual learning and exchange of experiences regarding the approach taken to measure the societal impact of the framework programme



### Thank you for your attention!